International application No.

PCT/AU2006/000755

			1 C1/A02000/000/33		
A. CL	ASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER				
Int. Cl.					
C12Q 1/68 (200	06.01)				
According to Inte	ernational Patent Classification (IPC) or to be	th national classification and IPC			
B. FII	ELDS SEARCHED	•			
Minimum documen	ntation searched (classification system followed by	classification symbols)			
Documentation sea	arched other than minimum cocumentation to the	extent that such documents are included	d in the fields searched		
WPIDS, MEDI	se consulted during the international search (name LINE, CAPLUS, D-GENE, GenBank: bis Q LISTING #51				
C. DOCUMENTS	CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT				
Category* C	Citation of document, with indication, where a	ppropriate, of the relevant passage	s Relevant to claim No.		
c Y H v	GenBank Acc. No. AF177040 Synthetic constant complete genome Yanagi, M. (et al) Virology 262 (1), 250-263 Hepatitis C virus: an infectious molecular cloryiability of intertypic 1a and 2a chimeras 100 % identity over 24 nct (position 2241 to 2	(1999) le of a second major genotype (2a)	and lack of		
c   N   P   a   X	GenBank Acc. No. AF265005 Hepatitis C virilods Nousbaum, J. (et al) J. Virol. 74 (19), 9028-90 Prospective characterization of full-length hepath combination antiviral therapy 11% identity (43/47) with 100% identity over D NO 1:6588-6634)	038 (2000) atitis C virus NS5A quasispecies d	uring induction		
X Furt	ther documents are listed in the continuat	ion of Box C X See pa	atent family annex		
"A" document do not consider	gories of cited documents:  cfining the general state of the art which is  red to be of particular relevance	conflict with the application but cited to underlying the invention			
"E" earlier application or patent but published on or after the "X" international filing date		or cannot be considered to involve an invalue	med invention cannot be considered novel ventive step when the document is taken		
or which is o	which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) "Y" cited to establish the publication date of tion or other special reason (as specified)	dccument of particular relevance; the clai involve an inventive step when the documents, such documents, such combination being	ent is combined with one or more other		
	eferring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition	dccument member of the same patent fan			
	ublished prior to the international filing date n the priority date claimed				
Date of the actual completion of the international search			Date of mailing of the international search report		
15 August 2006 Name and mailing address of the ISA/AU			3 D AUG 2006		
-	a a	Authorized officer			
	DDEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA	INES CARRIN			
E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929			Telephone No: (02) 6283 2435		

International application No.
PCT/AU2006/000755

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT					
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.			
x	WO 2004 015139 A (EPIGENOMICS AG) 19 February 2004 See in particular page 5, lines 15-18 and page 19, lines 14-17				
Ε .	WO 2006 058393 At HUMAN GENETIC SIGNATURES PTY LTD) 8 June 2006 See pages 9, lines 12 to 28, p. 12, l. 6 to 18 and p. 34, l. 26 to p. 35, l. 35	1			

International application No. **PCT/AU2006/000755** 

Box No. II	Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)					
This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:						
1.	Claims Nos.:					
	because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:					
2.	Claims Nos.:					
	because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such					
	an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:					
• 🗀	Object New York					
3.	Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a)					
D N 11						
	Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)					
This Interna	ational Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:					
	please see additional sheet					
	As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all					
1.	searchable claims.					
2.	As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying additional fees, this Authority did not invite					
	payment of additional fees.					
3.	As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:					
4. X	No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is					
[==]	restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1 (partially: SEQ ID NO 1 in SEQ LISTING #51)					
	SEQ DISTING WOLF					
Remark or	Profest					
ACIHALK OI	The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest and, where applicable, the payment of a protest fee.					
	The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest but the applicable					
	protest fee was not paid within the time limit specified in the invitation.					
	No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.					

International application No.

PCT/AU2006/000755

#### Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of Boxes I to VIII is not sufficient)

#### Continuation of Box No: III

The international application does not comply with the requirements of unity of invention because it does not relate to one invention or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept. Note that Rule 13.2 states that where a group of inventions is claimed in one and the same international application, the requirement of unity of invention referred to in Rule 13.1 shall be fulfilled only where there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The ISA has identified multiple separate inventions within the current claim set.

Each of the 107 independent claims are directed to modified nucleic acids from different micro-organisms.

The organism type cannot represent a special technical feature common to all the claims as the micro-organisms are not related. Hence there is a lack of unity a **priori**.

Furthermore, when considering the unity within each claim, it is appropriate to use the Markush approach. Although the sequences within a claim all have the common property that they are derived from a particular micro-organism, there is no common structure present in all of the sequences; and there is no single recognised class or group of compounds embracing all the sequences claimed. It is contrary to normal classification to group together such diverse sequences. Thus according to Markush, it is appropriate to classify each sequence as a separate invention. Therefore each claim represents multiple inventions.

Additionally, while the method of producing said sequences may represent a special technical feature, it appears that the methodology described in the specification is not new. For example, the modified nucleic acids were prepared by treating dsDNA with bisulfite to convert C residues to U residues (p.33-35). This was followed by an amplification process that converts U's to T residues (p.35-36). Thus the nucleic acids comprise residues A, T and G. A consequence of this process is that the nucleic acids derived from the upper and lower strands of the original dsDNA are no longer complementary.

Such a procedure is disclosed in the prior art document WO2004 015139. Specifically this document discloses reducing the complexity of nucleic acids by treating nucleic aid samples with bisulfite followed by amplification of the treated DNA. Bisulfite treatment converts C to U while the U is replaced by T during amplification. Thus converting a native nucleic acid into one containing A, T, G, U or A, T, G. Therefore the method of producing the claimed nucleic acids does not represent a common special technical feature within the meaning of Rules 13.1 and 13.2. Hence there is a lack of unity within each claim, a posteriori.

Furthermore, recognition of the value of using this approach to reduce DNA complexity for micro-organisms is also disclosed in WO2006 058393. As such the method of obtaining modified sequences using the methodology disclosed in the instant application does not represent a novel feature and cannot be regarded as a special technical feature to supply a unity of invention between each sequence.

As no additional fees were paid the International Searching Authority searched SEQ ID No 1 from Sequence Listing #51 (Claim 1), for the fee already paid.

Information on patent family members

International application No.

PCT/AU2006/000755

This Annex lists the known "A" publication level patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The Australian Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

Patent Document Cited in Search Report			Patent Family Member				
WO	2004015139	AU	2003266255	EP	1525328		
WO	2006058393						

Due to data integration issues this family listing may not include 10 digit Australian applications filed since May 2001.

END OF ANNEX